IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.553 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.164 OF 2005

Raj Banvarilal Chawla .... Appellant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra .... Respondent

Ms Rebecca Gonsalves for the Appellant.

Shri V.B. Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P., for the Respondent-State.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
Smt. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED: JULY 23, 2007

P.C:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the appellant/original accused No.2 and the learned A.P.P. for the respondent-State. The appellant has been convicted mainly under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. The appellant is now seeking bail.

2. There are no eye-witnesses to the incident. The learned Advocate for the appellant has submitted that the original accused No.1 Akram Hanif Mukati and the original accused No.3 Amit Shankar Bhagat have been granted bail and the case of the appellant stands on a better footing than that of the original accused Nos.1 and 3. This statement has not been controverted by the prosecution. In this view of the matter, we are

inclined to grant bail.

3. The appellant/original accused No.2 be released on bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two surety to make up the said amount. The appellant to report to Samarth Police Station, Pune, once in a month i.e., on the first Monday of each month till the disposal of the Appeal. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

(Smt.V.K.Tahilramani, J.) (R.M.S.Khandeparkar, J.)

sjs/J7ca553.7